

# FY 2022 LAW ENFORCEMENT SUBSTANCE ABUSE REDUCTION INITIATIVE PROGRAM APPLICATION EVALUATIONS

THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

OVERALL APPLICANT INFORMATION STRATEGY (35 points maximum)						
1. Is this applicant a Law Enforcement entity which is: COUNTY, LOCAL, or "established joint LE drug force"	0	1	2	3	4	5
2. Is this project a multi-jurisdictional application with <b>all</b> signed letters of support attached? (If a large single department based on size of force this may score 5 as well). A strong and convincing reason for funding in a REGION?	0	1	2	3	4	5
3. Is this Full time Department	0	1	2	3	4	5
4. Is the GOAL of this project reasonable based on: I.A.1	0	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the local drug enforcement efforts planned with this and current activities in II.B	0	1	2	3	4	5
6. Are the challenges and solutions to implementation addressed (page 6) in a reasonable manner?	0	1	2	3	4	5
7. Is this a part time Department (Full time Department scores 5, part time only =3, part time partial force =0)	0			3		5
REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS. (10 points maximum)						
8. To support the risk informed awarding, does this project include all statistical/ DMI categories & are supporting analysis documents attached (DMI stats, other neutral localized statistics)	0			5		10
SECTION III. PROJECT (25 points maximum)						
9. Rate the severity of the problem as identified by the application based on scale of: local, regional, more? Does this demonstrate a strong vision to support the overall project tied to RSA 21-P:66 , including intelligence gathering and metrics tied to such, communications, high visibility patrols, drug pathway interdiction	0			10		15
10. Rate the proposed solution as identified by the application to mitigate the identified problem. Is this convincing in rationale to fund this department or regional group?	0	1	3	5	7	10
SECTION IV. BUDGET (15 points maximum)						
11. Does the project contain a concise line item budget that adds correctly? ONLY Overtime allowed	0			3		5
12. Are all project costs reasonable, necessary and allowable based on narrative? budget?	0	1	2	3	4	5
13. How cost-effective does the funding plan appear to be given the described needs?	0	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION V. MANAGEMENT (15 points maximum)						
15. Does the applicant provide specific milestones as well as start and end dates within 6 months that are reasonable? (Milestones)	0					5
16. Is the application signed by proper, authorized officials including multi-agency applications	0			3		5
17. Are local funds being used currently and will they be to SUPPLEMENT current efforts and these grant related efforts	0			3		5

**TOTAL POINTS**

THIS CRITERIA WILL BE COMPLETED BY THE REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBERS